

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/923,179	WHEELER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Kambiz Zand	2132	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Kambiz Zand. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.
- (2) Mr. Chat D. Tillman. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-87.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant was informed that claims 1-87 are subjected to restriction and /election requirement that involves 3 inventions. Invention I consist of claim 1, invention II consist of claims 2-67 and invention III consist of claims 68-87. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Chat D. Tillman on 03/06/02 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention II, claims 2-67. Claims 1 and 68-87 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, under 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention; However Mr. Tillman was informed that the action on the elected invention will take place once the applications having 2001 filing are being processed...